

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Perez [jazzitta@bbtel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:29 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Perez
817 McCullum Ave
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Perez
(270)766-9408

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Perez [jazzitta@bbtel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:30 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Perez
817 McCullum Ave
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Perez
(270)766-9408

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Perez [jazzitta@bbtel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:29 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Perez
817 McCullum Ave
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Perez
(270)766-9408

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Perez [jazzitta@bbtel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:29 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Perez
817 McCullum Ave
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Perez
(270)766-9408

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Perez [jazzitta@bbtel.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 9:29 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Perez
817 McCullum Ave
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Perez
(270)766-9408

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Smith [phd@glwb.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 6:51 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cheryl Smith
15345 Chamberlain road
Grafton, Ohio 44044

October 20, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save consumers any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing consumers more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Smith
449-309-6706

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart
1641 E. Turner
Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart
417-869-9554

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett
14520 S. Abbottsford Rd.
Midlothian, IL 60445

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles and Melody Willett

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett
14520 S. Abbottsford Rd.
Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles and Melody Willett

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett
14520 S. Abbottsford Rd.
Midlothian, Il 60445

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles and Melody Willett

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles Willett [willettcaml@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:29 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charles Willett
14520 S. Abbottsford Rd.
Midlothian, IL 60445

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles and Melody Willett

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace
539 Norman Road
Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Frace

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace
539 Norman Road
Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Frace

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace
539 Norman Road
Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Frace

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace
539 Norman Road
Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Frace

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlotte Frace [chf53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 8:38 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlotte Frace
539 Norman Road
Nazareth, PA 18064

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Frace

Stephanie Kost

From: Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:38 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe
972-603-5946

Stephanie Kost

From: Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe
972-603-5946

Stephanie Kost

From: Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe
972-603-5946

Stephanie Kost

From: Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe
972-603-5946

Stephanie Kost

From: CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP
1110 8TH PLACE
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup
772-569-0645

Stephanie Kost

From: CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP
1110 8TH PLACE
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup
772-569-0645

Stephanie Kost

From: CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP
1110 8TH PLACE
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup
772-569-0645

Stephanie Kost

From: CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP
1110 8TH PLACE
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup
772-569-0645

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes
20 Harbour Lane
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryl Harbour [auntcher@cfaith.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 9:05 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Harbour
17 Smoke Tree Drive
Fenton, MO 63026

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl M Harbour

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:51 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:50 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:50 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365